Art Unit 2614

Attorney Docket No. 0465-0647P Amendment filed on July 28, 2003

Page 7

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the very thorough consideration given

the present application.

Claims 1-21 are now present in this application. Claims 1, 11, 13 and

18 are independent. Claims 1, 11, 13, 15, 16 and 17 have been amended.

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully

requested.

Examiner's Interview

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to

Applicant's representative during the interview, which was conducted on July

23, 2003. An Examiner Interview Summary was made of record. During the

interview, Applicants' representative asserted that Suh fails to disclose or

suggest automatically resizing said menu element in accordance with the size

of the screen on which the menu is displayed. The Examiner agreed with the

Applicants' assertion.

Claims 1, 11 and 13 have been amended in the manner discussed during

the interview, and are believed to place the application into condition for

allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of the present application

are respectfully requested.

Art Unit 2614

Attorney Docket No. 0465-0647P Amendment filed on July 28, 2003

Page 8

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Suh and U.S. Patent No. 6,141,003 to Chor et al. (Chor). This

rejection is respectfully traversed.

Without conceding to the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection,

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 has been amended to recite a

combination of elements in a method for displaying a menu of a TV, including

automatically resizing said menu element in accordance with the size of the

screen on which the menu is displayed. Claims 11 and 13 have been similarly

amended. This feature is neither disclosed nor suggested by the prior art of

record, including Suh and Chor.

In particular, Suh discloses that a program ROM 212 stores a program

necessary for the operation of the system, font data, various kinds of decoding

programs and data (see Suh, Col.4, lines 21-23). The Examiner suggests that

because font data is stored in program ROM 212 of Suh, a capability is

provided therein to automatically resize menu elements such as icons. The

Examiner's suggestion extends even further to reach an assertion that this

automatic resizing is performed according to the size of the screen on which

the menu is displayed.

Applicants respectfully submit that the mere existence of font data does

not produce the ability to resize. Applicants would further venture to say that a

feature that provides for resizing of fonts (for an actual picture or a menu

Art Unit 2614

Attorney Docket No. 0465-0647P Amendment filed on July 28, 2003

Page 9

element) on a screen is rarely, if not ever, available even as a manual

adjustment option. Font data, however, undoubtedly exists in many display

devices today (else display would not be possible). Therefore, the fact that font

data is stored somewhere is expected, but it is of no consequence with respect

to the above-recited feature of the Applicants' claimed invention.

Particularly, Suh fails to disclose or suggest automatically resizing said

menu element in accordance with the size of the screen on which the menu is

displayed, as recited in independent claim 1 (as amended) and similarly stated

in independent claims 11 and 13 (as amended). Chor cannot fill this vacancy.

Further, Applicants note that in the "Examiner's Response" (on page 9 of

the Office Action) it is suggested that based on the selected screen mode Figs.

3a/b/c/d/e of Suh determines the size of the displayed screen and associated

signal. Applicants respectfully submit that the size of the display screen and

the size of a picture associated therewith is not to be confused with the size of

menu elements such as icons and characters. The device of Suh cannot adjust

the size (resize) of menu elements. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal

of this rejection are respectfully requested.

Dependent Claims

Claims 2-10, 12 and 14-17 depend, either directly or indirectly, on

independent claims 1, 11 and 13. Since neither Suh, nor Chor, discloses or

suggests the above-recited features of independent claims 1, 11 and 13, neither

Art Unit 2614

Attorney Docket No. 0465-0647P Amendment filed on July 28, 2003

Page 10

Suh, nor Chor, either singly, or in combination can render claims 2-10, 12 and

14-17 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Reconsideration and

withdrawal of this art grounds of rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 18-21

Claims 18-21 have been added for the Examiner's consideration.

Independent claim 18 recites a combination of elements in a method of

displaying a menu in a TV screen, including automatically resizing the

interactive icons based on a ratio between the initially reduced icon size and a

size of the menu-display screen. Applicants respectfully submit that this

combination of elements as set forth in independent claim 18 is not disclosed or

made obvious by the prior art of record, including Suh and Chor.

Particularly, after the menu display screen of the Applicants' claimed

invention is determined in step S200, the size of one or more interactive icons of

an interactive menu is initially reduced to a predetermined size (e.g., default size)

in S310 (see Applicants' FIG.5). Thereafter, the size of the menu icons is further

automatically adjusted (resized) based on a ratio between the initially reduced

icon size and a size of the menu-display screen. For example, if it is determined

in step S320 that the size of the menu-display screen is not large enough (too

small) to properly display the initially size-reduced icons, the size of the icons is

further reduced (resized) such that all icons can be properly displayed on the

menu-display screen. On the other hand, if it is determined in step S320 that the

Art Unit 2614

Attorney Docket No. 0465-0647P Amendment filed on July 28, 2003

Page 11

initially reduced icon size is too small in comparison with the size of the menu-

display screen, and then the initially size-reduced icons are enlarged in step

S500.

Therefore, Suh does not disclose or suggest including automatically

resizing the interactive icons based on a ratio between the initially reduced icon

size and a size of the menu-display screen, as recited in added claim 18. Chor

cannot supply the deficiency of Suh.

Applicants submit that claims 19-21 depend, either directly or indirectly,

from independent claim 18, and are therefore allowable based on their

dependence from claim 18, which is believed to be allowable.

Consideration and allowance of claims 18-21 are respectfully requested.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed,

accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that

the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be

withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the

outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition

for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will

expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone

Art Unit 2614

Attorney Docket No. 0465-0647P Amendment filed on July 28, 2003

Page 12

Percy L. Square, Registration No. 51,084, at (703) 205-8034, in the Washington,

D.C. area.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully

requested.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the

application by this Amendment.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a), the Applicants respectfully

petition for a one (1) month extension of time for filing a response in connection

with the present application and the required fee of \$110.00 is attached

herewith.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent,

and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit

Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or

1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By:

James T. Eller, Jr.

Reg. No.: 39,538

JTE/PLS: asc

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703) 205-8000

Attachment: Version with Markings to Show Changes Made

Art Unit 2614

Attorney Docket No. 0465-0647P Amendment filed on July 28, 2003 Page 13

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

In the Claims:

The claims have been amended as follows:

1. (Three Times Amended) A method for displaying a menu of a TV comprising the steps of:

setting a screen display mode to simultaneously display two or more screens on a TV, including;

determining whether or not a menu key is input to display interactive program specific information including broadcasting program information;

determining which screen display mode is the current screen display mode if it is determined that said menu key is input; and

displaying an interactive menu element including an icon in a menu display area depending on the screen display mode, by automatically resizing said menu element in accordance with the size of the screen on which the menu is displayed.

11. (Three Times Amended) A method for displaying a menu of a TV comprising the steps of:

setting a screen display mode to simultaneously display a plurality of screens on a TV;

setting one of the plurality of screens as an interactive menu display screen wherein a user may select program specific information from said menu, including broadcasting program information; and

displaying an interactive menu element showing said program specific information, including broadcasting program information on the menu display

Art Unit 2614

Attorney Docket No. 0465-0647P Amendment filed on July 28, 2003

Page 14

screen, by automatically resizing said menu element in accordance with the size of the screen on which the menu is displayed.

13. (Twice Amended) A method of displaying a menu in a TV screen, comprising the steps of:

determining whether a menu key is input by a user to display an interactive menu for program specific information including broadcasting program information on a TV screen;

determining which display mode is the current display mode if said menu key is input;

dividing said TV screen into two or more first sub-display screens or into a main-display screen and one or more second sub-display screens according to which mode is determined to be the current display mode;

setting one of said first or second sub-display screens as an interactive menu-display screen;

automatically [adjusting sizes] resizing of one or more interactive icons of said menu in accordance with the size of the screen on which a menu is displayed, so as to be distinguishably recognized by said user when displayed on said menu-display screen; and

displaying [said size-adjusted] the resized icons on said menu-display screen.

15. (Amended) The method of claim 13, wherein [said size adjusting] the automatically resizing step includes the steps of obtaining a first ratio between a region on which the menu is to be displayed and a region in which the menu is occupied, and reducing the size of the menu at a predetermined ratio if said first ratio is less than 100%.

Art Unit 2614

Attorney Docket No. 0465-0647P Amendment filed on July 28, 2003 Page 15

16. (Amended) The method of claim 13, wherein [said size adjusting] the automatically resizing step includes the step of expanding or reducing a size of a text portion being included in each of said icons.

17. (Amended) The method of claim 13, wherein [said size adjusting] the automatically resizing step includes the step of expanding or reducing a size of a graphical portion being included in each of said icons.

Claims 18-21 have been added.